DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN

UNITE	STATES	OF AMERICA,)			
		Plaintiff,)			
)			
		v.)	Criminal	No.	2019-12
)			
TOMMY	RAMIREZ)			
)			
		Defendant.)			
)			

ATTORNEYS:

Gretchen Shappert, United States Attorney Sigrid Tejo-Sprotte, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office St. Thomas, U.S.V.I. For the United States of America,

Alex Omar Rosa-Ambert

Rosa-Ambert Law Offices San Juan, PR For Tommy Ramirez.

ORDER

GÓMEZ, J.

Before the Court is the application of Tommy Ramirez ("Ramirez") to waive his speedy trial. For the reasons stated herein, the time to try this case is extended up to and including June 1, 2019.

While the Speedy Trial Act requires that defendants be tried within seventy days of indictment, the Court specifically

United States v. Leonard et al. Criminal No. 2019-12 Order Page 2

finds that extending this period would be in the best interest of justice for several reasons. First, an extension is necessary to allow Ramirez time to investigate the charges against him.

Second, Ramirez made his request with the advice and consent of counsel. Third, without an extension, Ramirez would be denied reasonable time necessary to review discovery and prepare for trial.

Consistent with these concerns, the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit has recognized that "whether or not a case is 'unusual' or 'complex,' an 'ends of justice' continuance may in appropriate circumstances be granted."

United States v. Fields, 39 F.3d 439, 444 (3d Cir. 1994); United States v. Dota, 33 F.3d 1179(9th Cir. 1994) ("An ends of justice continuance may be justified on grounds that one side needs more time to prepare for trial [even if the] case [i]s not 'complex.'"); see also United States v. Lattany, 982 F.2d 866, 883 (3d Cir. 1992) ("[T]he district court did not abuse its discretion when it delayed the trial to give counsel . . . opportunity to . . . decid[e] upon and prepar[e] an appropriate defense."); United States v. Brooks, 697 F.2d 517, 522 (3d Cir. 1982) (holding there was no abuse of discretion where district court found that multiple count, multiple defendant "case was

United States v. Leonard et al. Criminal No. 2019-12 Order Page 3

complex and required additional time for adequate
preparation.").

The premises considered; it is hereby

ORDERED that the time beginning from the date of this order granting an extension through June 1, 2019, shall be excluded in computing the time within which the trial for Ramirez must be initiated pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

Curtis V. Gómez
District Judge